IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

MONDAY, THE 12TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 23RD MAGHA 1928

Crl.MC.No. 309 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN BA.6251/2006

.....

PETITIONER: ACCUSED NO.3

ALI @ KUNJUMON, S/O MOHAMMEDKUTTY,
CHEENIKKAL HOUSE, VADAKKUMPURAM,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.JACOB SEBASTIAN

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM REPRESENTING THE SUB INSPECTOR OF
POLICE, VLANCHERRY POLICE STATION IN CRIME NO.
296/06.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.S.U.NAZER

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/02/2007 ALONG WITH CRL.M.C.NOS.313 & 316 OF 2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

Crl.M.C.No.309, 313 & 316 of 2007

Dated this the 12th day of February, 2007

ORDER

The petitioner, who faces allegations in different crimes, registered under Section 395 I.P.C, was enlarged on bail as per a common order passed subject to conditions. The condition imposed now obliges the petitioner to report before the Investigating Officer on the first working day of every month between 10 a.m and 11 a.m till the filing of the final report by the Investigating Officer. There is no contention that the final report has already been filed. Investigation is in progress. The petitioner submits that this order may stand against his taking up employment outside Kerala. There is no assertion that he has secured any employment now. If and when he secures employment and if the condition stands in the way of his taking up employment outside Kerala and in continuing any such employment, the petitioner can certainly approach this Court for modification/relaxation of the conditions after giving details of the employment to the court. At the moment, I find no reason to relax or delete the condition imposed on the petitioner in clause (b).

2. These Crl.M.Cs are, in these circumstances, dismissed, but with the above observations.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

rtr/-